

**The 23rd INFORUM World Conference
Bangkok, Thailand
23 - 28 August 2015**

Experiment of the Calculation of Government Spending Multipliers for Russian Economy Using the Dynamic Input-Output Model

Alexander Baranov, Kristina Krashenina

Novosibirsk National Research State University
Institute of Economics and Industrial Engineering
of the Siberian Branch of the Academy of Sciences of the Russian
Federation

Novosibirsk
2015

The research is supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation

I. The discussion about the effectiveness of public spending as a way to stimulate economic growth

1. Up to now, an ongoing debate about the effectiveness of public spending as a way to stimulate economic growth.

2. The Keynesian point of view is that the economic multiplier is greater than unity. However, it is known assertion disputed by the new classical school (Barro Robert J., Redlick Charles J. Macroeconomic Effects From Government Purchases and Taxes // The Quarterly Journal of Economics. – 2011. – p. 51-102). Representatives of the new classical school argue that in many cases the economic multiplier is less than one. In their opinion economic growth more effectively stimulated by tax cuts.

I. The discussion about the effectiveness of public spending as a way to stimulate economic growth (continuation)

3. Different types of public spending (defense spending, construction spending, spending on education, etc.) have different multiplicative effect on the economy as a whole and on its individual sectors. Therefore it is necessary to talk about the system of multipliers. In addition, the growth of public investment has distributed in time multiplier effect, which is linked with the existence in the economy distributed construction lags. Therefore it is necessary to investigate the *system of dynamic economic multipliers*.

II. W. Leontief dynamic inverse matrix

1. In the classical Leontief static I-O model multipliers role played by inverse matrix $(I-A)^{-1}$ (Leontief matrix). Leontief dynamic I-O model modifies the value of the multipliers at the expense of the capital investment intensity coefficients - the matrix B.

$$X(t) = A(t)X(t) + B(t)\frac{dX(t)}{dt} + C(t)$$

$X(t) = [x_j(t)]$ - gross output column vector;

$\frac{dX(t)}{dt}$ — column vector of gross output increase;

$C(t)$ — column vector of final demand (including government spending);

$A(t) = \|a_{ij}(t)\|$ - intermediate consumption coefficients matrix;

$B(t) = \|b_{ij}(t)\|$ - matrix of capital investment intensity coefficients of gross output increase.

W. Leontief described so-called "dynamic inverse matrix", which is built using matrices $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ (*W. Leontief. The Dynamic Inverse Matrix // Economic essays. Theory, research, facts and policy. Trans. from English. - M.: Politizdat, 1990, pp. 294-318*). In fact, this matrix is a system of dynamic multipliers showing what expenses it's necessary to make a few years before consumers will be supplied additional final products.

III. System of government spending multipliers in Russia obtained using econometric analysis

1. For the study were allocated 6 main indicators of public spending:

- Nationwide Issues;
- National economy;
- Housing and utilities;
- Environmental protection;
- 'National defense' + 'national security and law enforcement "';
- Social spending, which includes spending on education, social policy, culture, cinema, media, health care, physical culture and sports.

2. Calculations have been performed using data with the annual step (1995-2013) and quarterly step (2004-2014).

Table 1. Multipliers of public spending in Russia which are greater one

Dependent variables	Regressors	Multipliers
<i>The results of calculations with annual data (1995-2013)</i>		
Paid services gross output increase	Increase of total government spending	1,14
	Increase expenditure on general state issues	3,11
Increase of total industrial output	Increase expenditure on National defense + national security and law enforcement	2,75
Increase of construction output	Increase expenditure on Housing and utilities	1,65
	Increase expenditure on National defense + national security and law enforcement	2,33

Table 1. Multipliers of public spending in Russia which are greater one (continuation)

<i>The results of calculations with quarterly data (2004-2014)</i>		
Increase of total industrial VA (value added)	Increase expenditure on the national economy [-4]	1,34
Increase of manufacturing VA	Increase expenditure on National defense + national security and law enforcement [-4]	1,97
Increase of construction VA	Increase expenditure on general state issues [-4]	1,24

Table 2. Multipliers of public spending in Russia which are less one

Dependent variables	Regressors	Multipliers
<i>The results of calculations with annual data (1995-2013)</i>		
Increase of construction output	Increase of total government spending	0,42
<i>The results of calculations with quarterly data (2004-2014)</i>		
Increase of total industrial VA	Increase expenditure on general state issues [-4]	0,91
	Increase expenditure on National defense + national security and law enforcement [-4]	0,96

Table 2. Multipliers of public spending in Russia which are less one (continuation)

Dependent variables	Regressors	Multipliers
<i>The results of calculations with quarterly data (2004-2014)</i>		
Increase of manufacturing VA	Increase expenditure on general state issues [-4]	0,63
Increase of construction VA	Increase expenditure on the national economy [-4]	0,46
	Increase expenditure on National defense + national security and law enforcement [-4]	0,53
Increase of agriculture VA	Increase expenditure on the national economy [-4]	0,76

Main conclusions on the results of econometric calculations

- 1. As a result of the econometric analysis was not found statistically significant dependence of growth of Russia's GDP from government spending for annual data and quarterly data. Therefore, on the basis of econometric analysis could not determine the multiplier of government spending for GDP of the Russian economy.*
- 2. Impact of growth of different types public spending on the growth of gross output and VA growth in various economic activities is contradictory. In some cases the results of calculations for quarterly and annual data contradict each other.**

IY. Calculation of multipliers of government spending using the dynamic input-output model for the period 2014-2017

1. The dynamic input-output model with distributed construction lags has been used for the calculation. This model was developed at the Institute of Economics and Industrial Engineering of SB RAS and the Novosibirsk State University.
2. The model simulated the dynamics of fixed assets and fixed assets intensity coefficients are used (the ratio of fixed assets to gross output for each type of economic activity), not the coefficients of capital investment intensity of gross output increase (the ratio of capital investment to increase of gross output for each type of economic activity). Fixed assets intensity coefficients are determined separately for machinery and equipment and for buildings.

3. As a starting point of the calculations have been used the information base of 32 industries Dynamic Input-Output Model for 2013. Each economic activity was divided into the production of goods and services of the first subdivision (production of means of production and intermediate services) and manufacturing products and services of the second subdivision (production of consumer goods and services that make up the final consumption of households). So the total number of industries of the DIOM was 64.

4. Another peculiarity of the Dynamic Input-Output Model was the division of machine building industry and construction industry on two subsectors. Machine building is divided into two kinds of economic activities: fixed assets-building subsector, which produces machinery and equipment and non fixed assets-building subsector producing parts and defense products. Construction is divided into two kinds of economic activities: fixed assets-building subsector, which produces buildings and structures and non fixed assets-building subsector producing current repair of buildings.

5. *Main purpose of the calculations* using the DIOM was to analyze the impact of growth in public spending on the total value of gross output and gross output of industries of the Russian economy. Analyzed growth of government spending on products of five types of economic activity: non fixed assets-building subsector of machine-building, construction, health care, education, research and development. The forecast calculations were carried out for the period 2014-2017.

6. For comparability of results of calculations government spending for each of the above mentioned types of economic activity increased by 1 trillion rubles.

7. To obtain more reliable results, it was necessary to evaluate the growth of additional fixed assets required to produce additional products in the respective industries. Based on the fixed assets intensity coefficients, for each of above-mentioned types of economic activity have been counted increase of fixed assets. Fixed assets put in service in the respective industry in the first year of the forecasting period have been increased by the amount of necessary increase of fixed assets. At the same amount have been changed the total value of fixed capital put in service in Russian economy.

Table 3. Multipliers of public expenditure increase on the purchase of products of various sectors for the gross output of the Russian economy as a whole

Type of public expenditures increase	2014	2015	2016	2017
Construction	2,404	2,190	2,167	2,088
Machine –building (non fixed assets-building subsector)	2,396	2,134	2,086	2,013
Health care	2,253	2,082	2,046	1,885
Education	2,182	2,084	2,046	1,791
Research and Development	1,540	1,586	1,600	1,284

Source: results of authors' calculations using DIOM

Table 4. Impact of public expenditure increase on the purchase of products of various sectors on the dynamics of the 1st subdivision output and the 2nd subdivision output - the ratio of the predicted values of gross output calculating taking into account the increase in government spending to the gross output without increasing government spending

Type of public expenditures increase		2014	2015	2016	2017
Construction	1 st subdivision	1,044	1,031	1,029	1,026
	2 nd subdivision	0,993	1,005	1,006	1,007
Machine –building (non fixed assets-building subsector)	1 st subdivision	1,049	1,037	1,035	1,033
	2 nd subdivision	0,987	0,997	0,998	0,998
Health care	1 st subdivision	1,030	1,015	1,011	1,007
	2 nd subdivision	1,007	1,021	1,024	1,026
Education	1 st subdivision	1,035	1,018	1,012	1,005
	2 nd subdivision	1,000	1,018	1,023	1,027
Research and Development	1 st subdivision	1,057	1,038	1,030	1,019
	2 nd subdivision	0,963	0,986	0,995	1,002

Source: results of authors' calculations using DIOM

Table 5. Positive and negative impact of public spending increase, aimed at the construction on the gross output by kinds of economic activity - the ratio of the predicted values of gross output calculating taking into account the increase in government spending to the gross output without increasing government spending (for 2014)

Positive impact			Negative impact		
The rank	Type of economic activity	Ratio	The rank	Type of economic activity	Ratio
1	Production of machines and equipment	1,375	1	Communication	0,999
2	Construction - fixed assets-building	1,148	2	Wholesale and retail trade, repair, hotels and restaurants	0,997
3	Construction - non fixed assets-building	1,124	3	Textile and clothing manufacture. Manufacture of leather, leather products and footwear	0,994
4	Construction materials production	1,098	4	Other community, social and personal services	0,991
5	Production of ferrous metals	1,088	5	Education	0,990

Table 5 (continuation)

The rank	Type of economic activity	Ratio	The rank	Type of economic activity	Ratio
6	Mining and quarrying, except fuel and energy resources	1,086	6	Public administration and military security. Social Security	0,989
7	Manufacture of fabricated metal products	1,041	7	Health care and social services	0,989
8	Chemical production. Manufacture of rubber and plastic	1,036	8	Manufacture of food products and tobacco	0,988
9	Non fixed assets-building mechanical engineering	1,036	9	Agriculture, hunting and forestry. Fishery and fish breeding	0,988
10	Coke production	1,030			

Source: results of authors' calculations using DIOM

Conclusions on the results of calculations using the DIOM

1. Multipliers of government spending increase for gross output of the Russian economy in all analyzed items have values greater than one. This confirms the effectiveness of Keynesian ideas to stimulate economic growth by increasing public spending on final goods of construction, machine building (including defense spending), health care, education, research and development. The highest multiplier effect is achieved with an increase in construction costs (the value of the multiplier in 2014 is equal to 2,4), the lowest - at increasing expenditure on research and development (the multiplier in 2014 equal to 1,5). It should be noted here that the expenses on research and development have an impact on economic growth in the long term and the full effect of their increase may occur much later.

Conclusions on the results of calculations using the DIOM (continuation)

2. Negative impact of growth in public spending on production of a number of sectors (construction, machine-building, research and development) on the dynamics of the gross output of the second subdivision is explained by two factors a) the redistribution of resources in favor of industries producing goods and services primarily for production purposes; b) the fact that the increase in production requires a new fixed assets, which are created in industries that produce primarily first division products.

3. It was assumed in this study that public spending increase needs not only increase of production of any industry, but also needs increase of the corresponding inputs of capital assets. Because of this assumption the most significant multiplier effects associated with fixed-assets building industries. Such multiplier effect can be expected in a situation when the economy does not have adequate reserves of production capacity by economic activities, the demand for products of which from the state increases significantly.

Conclusions on the results of calculations using the DIOM (continuation)

4. Type of economic activity, for the purchase of products of which have been directed additional public spending, in addition to the fixed-assets building industries provide the greatest increase in gross output. For example, if the increase in public spending has been directed to education, the gross output of the industry in 2014 increased by 1,427 times, which is the highest value among all other economic activities, except for machine-building.

5. As a result of calculations were determined the multipliers for some major directions of public spending. It is shown that the acceleration of economic growth in the short and medium term most effectively provided through the growth of government spending on construction and machine-building products, including defense products. These economic activities have the highest multipliers throughout the forecast period.

The authors plan to continue further studies to clarify the multiplier effects in the Russian economy using new "Input-Output" tables for 2011, to be published in late 2015.

References

1. Kahn R. The Relation of Home Investment to Unemployment // The Economic Journal. Vol. 41, No. 162 (Jun., 1931), pp. 173-198.
2. Keynes J. The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money. Anthology of economic classics. - M.: Economics, 1993. V. 2.
3. Barro Robert J., Redlick Charles J. Macroeconomic Effects From Government Purchases and Taxes // The Quarterly Journal of Economics. – 2011. – p. 51-102.
4. Leontief W. The Dynamic Inverse Matrix // Economic essays. Theory, research, facts and policy. Trans. from English. - M.: Politizdat, 1990, pp. 294-318.

References (continuation)

5. Shirov A., Yantovsky A. Evaluation multiplier effect in the economy: possibilities and limitations // EKO, #2, 2011 - P. 40-58. (In Russian).
6. Cohen S. Multiplier Analyses in Social Accounting and Input-Output Frameworks: Evidence for Several Countries //Frontiers of Input-Output Analysis. Edited by Ronald E. Miller, Karen R. Polenske and Adam Z. Rose. New York, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1989, pp. 79-99.
7. Rebecca Bess and Zoë O. Ambargis. Input-Output Models for Impact Analysis: Suggestions for Practitioners Using RIMS II Multipliers. Presented at the 50th Southern Regional Science Association Conference. March 23-27, 2011. New Orleans, Louisiana. URL: https://www.bea.gov/papers/pdf/WP_IOMIA_RIMSII_020612.pdf.
8. Investigation of the Russian economy using models with fuzzy parameters / Ed. Baranov A., Pavlov V. Novosib. state. Univ, IEIE SB RAS. - Novosibirsk, 2009. - 236 p. (In Russian).

THANK YOU!